

# TRAPPED MODES FOR THE ELASTIC PLATE WITH A PERTURBATION OF YOUNG'S MODULUS

CLEMENS FÖRSTER

ABSTRACT. We consider a linear elastic plate with stress-free boundary conditions in the limit of vanishing Poisson coefficient. We prove that under a local change of Young's modulus infinitely many eigenvalues arise in the essential spectrum which accumulate at a positive threshold. We give estimates on the accumulation rate and on the asymptotical behaviour of the eigenvalues.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a homogeneous and isotropic linear elastic medium on the domain  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times J$  with  $J = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . For functions  $u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$  we set

$$\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$$

and<sup>1</sup>

$$(1.1) \quad a_0[u] = 2 \int_G \langle \epsilon(u), \epsilon(u) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx.$$

This is the quadratic form of the elasticity operator

$$(1.2) \quad A_0 = -(\Delta + \text{grad div})$$

in  $L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$  for zero Poisson coefficient with stress-free boundary conditions. Here we have chosen a suitable set of units such that for Young's modulus  $E$  it holds  $E = 2$ . Let  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; [0, 1])$  be a function of compact support which is extended to  $G$  by  $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2)$  for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times J$ . The function  $f$  describes a local perturbation consisting in an area of reduced Young's modulus. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we consider the perturbed operator  $A_\alpha$  corresponding to the quadratic form

$$(1.3) \quad a_\alpha[u] = 2 \int_G (1 - \alpha f) \langle \epsilon(u), \epsilon(u) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx, \quad u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3).$$

The perturbation gives rise to local oscillations of the plate which are situated around the perturbation. These oscillations are called trapped modes and correspond to eigenvalues of  $A_\alpha$  which are embedded into its essential spectrum. We prove the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues  $\kappa_k(\alpha)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , of  $A_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  which accumulate to a certain threshold  $\Lambda > 0$ . Furthermore, we give two sorts of estimates which characterise the asymptotical behaviour of the eigenvalues. On the one hand we prove the small coupling asymptotics

$$(1.4) \quad \kappa_k(\alpha) = \Lambda - \alpha^2(\Lambda \pi \lambda_k(K))^2 + o(\alpha^2) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P20.

*Key words and phrases.* elasticity operator, trapped modes.

<sup>1</sup>For two matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}$  we set  $\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} \overline{B_{ji}}$ .

Here  $\lambda_k(K)$  are the eigenvalues of a compact model operator  $K$  specified in (5.20). On the other hand we prove the accumulation rate asymptotics

$$(1.5) \quad \ln(\Lambda - \varkappa_k(\alpha)) = -2k \ln k + o(k \ln k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The topic of this paper is related to a series of works on trapped modes in perturbed quantum, acoustic and elastic waveguides, see among others [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein. While trapped modes for quantum and acoustic waveguides correspond to eigenvalues of the scalar-valued Laplacian, the investigation of trapped modes in elastic waveguides leads to the spectral analysis of the elasticity operator which acts on vector-valued functions. Because of the more involved structure of this operator, new and in some degree curious spectral effects can be observed.

These effects depend on the specific structure of the symbol which is associated with the elasticity operator. In [7] this dependence was exploited for the elasticity operator on the strip  $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} \times J$ . Performing a Fourier transform in the unbounded direction one sees that the spectrum arises from an infinite series of band functions depending on the Fourier parameter. Considering the operator only for specific spatial and internal symmetry cases, the lowest band function attains its minimum at two non-zero points  $\xi = \pm \varkappa$  of the Fourier coordinate  $\xi$ . This yields two trapped modes when a suitable perturbation is applied.

Within this article we consider the plate  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times J$  which is obtained by rotating the strip  $\Gamma$  around the axis which is along the bounded dimension. As we will see, the lowest band function in this case is obtained by rotating the corresponding band function of the strip case around the axis  $\xi = 0$ . Its minimum is now attained on the whole circle  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| = \varkappa\}$ . This corresponds to infinitely many standing waves with minimal kinetic energy. Therefore, indeed *infinitely* many eigenvalues arise, when a perturbation is applied. This kind of “strongly degenerated” spectral minimum was treated in [8] for rather general operators. We will make use of the concepts which were developed there. But in contrast to the applications presented in [8], we have to deal with super-polynomial accumulation rates of the eigenvalues. Such accumulation rates were recently observed in different situations, see for example [9, 10, 11]. In general, they rest on the combination of compactly supported perturbations applied to strongly degenerated operators.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we state the problem in detail. In Section 3 we describe the spatial and internal symmetries of the problem which will help us to distinguish the embedded eigenvalues from the surrounding essential spectrum. We give a description of the spectral minimum mentioned above which is mainly based on [7].

In Section 4 we prove the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues. Further auxiliary material is provided in Section 5. We introduce general classes of operators which allow us to handle super-polynomial eigenvalue asymptotics beyond the well-known  $\Sigma_p$ -classes for compact operators. Then we state a special type of Birman-Schwinger principle appropriate to our problem. Finally we introduce the method of [8] and describe the behaviour of the Birman-Schwinger operator along the spectral minimum of the unperturbed operator.

The main results about the asymptotical behaviour of the embedded eigenvalues are stated in Section 6, followed by the main proofs in Section 7. The idea of the proofs is to obtain properties from the spectrum of the unbounded elasticity operator by investigating the compact Birman-Schwinger operator. This is done by

extracting that part of the Birman-Schwinger operator which is responsible for the leading term in the eigenvalue asymptotics, calculating its properties and estimating the remainder terms. The latter two problems are treated separately in Sections 8 and 9.

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** The author thanks T. Weidl for introducing him to this interesting topic, for his guidance and for many valuable hints and discussions. The author is also grateful to A. Laptev for useful discussions. The kind hospitality of the KTH is gratefully acknowledged. This work was financially supported by the Cusanuswerk, the DAAD-STINT, PPP-programme and the DFG, grant We 1964/2-1.

**1.2. Notations.** Throughout this article,  $n(s, T)$  denotes the number of singular values of a compact operator  $T$  above  $s > 0$  including multiplicities. For general selfadjoint operators  $T$ ,  $n_{\pm}(s, T)$  denotes the number of eigenvalues above and below  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , respectively.

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

We denote the stress tensor by  $\sigma(u) = \lambda(\text{Tr } \epsilon(u))\mathbf{I} + 2\mu\epsilon(u)$  where  $\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}$  are the Lamé constants,  $\nu$  is the Poisson ratio and  $E$  is Young's modulus. Then the quadratic form corresponding to the operator of linear elasticity subject to stress-free boundary conditions is given by

$$(2.1) \quad a_0[u] = \int_G \langle \sigma(u), \epsilon(u) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx,$$

which is well-defined for all functions  $u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ .

In this paper we stress on the special case of zero Poisson coefficient. Choosing a suitable set of units such that  $E = 2$ , (2.1) turns into

$$(2.2) \quad a_0[u] = 2 \int_G \langle \epsilon(u), \epsilon(u) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx.$$

This form is associated with the positive self-adjoint operator

$$(2.3) \quad A_0 = -(\Delta + \text{grad div})$$

on the domain

$$D(A_0) = \{u \in H^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3) : \partial_j u_3 + \partial_3 u_j = 0, j = 1, 2, 3 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\pm \frac{\pi}{2}\}\}.$$

The inequality

$$(2.4) \quad a_0[u] \leq 2\|u\|_{H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)}^2, \quad u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$$

is obvious. Moreover, the reverse estimate

$$(2.5) \quad a_0[u] + \|u\|^2 \geq c(G)\|u\|_{H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)}^2, \quad u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3), \quad c(G) > 0$$

holds, where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ . This is the well-known Korn inequality [12]. Hence, the class of functions  $u \in L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ , for which the integral (2.2) is well-defined and finite, coincides with  $H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ . Therefore, the form  $a_0$  is closed on the domain  $D[a_0] = H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ .

For  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; [0, 1])$  with compact support, extended to  $G$  by  $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2)$  for  $x \in G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times J$ , we define the form

$$(2.6) \quad v[u] := 2 \int_G f \langle \epsilon(u), \epsilon(u) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx, \quad u \in D[a_0].$$

Considering the form

$$(2.7) \quad a_\alpha[u] := a_0[u] - \alpha v[u]$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , which corresponds to (1.3), we see that this form is also closed on the domain  $D[a_\alpha] = D[a_0] = H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ . Therefore it induces a positive self-adjoint operator  $A_\alpha$  in  $L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$ .

The spectrum of the operator  $A_0$  is purely absolutely continuous and coincides with  $[0, \infty)$ . It is well-known [13], that a local change of the boundary conditions or a local change of the quadratic form will not change the essential spectrum. Therefore the essential part of the spectrum of  $A_\alpha$  fills the non-negative semi-axis, too. In this paper we shall discuss the existence of positive eigenvalues of the operator  $A_\alpha$  which are embedded into its continuous spectrum.

### 3. AUXILIARY MATERIAL I

**3.1. Spatial and internal symmetries.** In analogy to [7] we define the subspaces  $H_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  of  $H := L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^3)$  as

$$H_j := \{u \in H : u_l(\cdot, -x_3) = (-1)^{j-1} u_l(\cdot, x_3), \quad l = 1, 2, \\ u_3(\cdot, -x_3) = (-1)^j u_3(\cdot, x_3)\}.$$

Then  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ . Further let

$$H_3 := \{u \in H_1 : u = (u_1(x_1, x_2), u_2(x_1, x_2), 0)\}.$$

It forms a subspace in  $H_1$ . The orthogonal complement  $H_4$  to  $H_3$  in  $H_1$  consists of all functions  $w \in H_1$  for which

$$\int_J w_i(\cdot, x_3) dx_3 = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Let  $P_j$  be the orthogonal projections onto  $H_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ . Then  $P_j P_1 = P_1 P_j = P_j$  for  $j = 3, 4$ . A simple calculation shows, that

$$D[a_\alpha^{(j)}] := P_j D[a_\alpha] \subset D[a_\alpha], \quad j = 1, \dots, 4$$

and

$$a_\alpha[u, w] = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D[a_\alpha^{(l)}], \quad w \in D[a_\alpha^{(j)}]$$

if  $l, j = 2, 3, 4$  and  $l \neq j$ . Hence, these subspaces are reducing for the operator  $A_\alpha$  and

$$(3.1) \quad A_\alpha = A_\alpha^{(3)} \oplus A_\alpha^{(4)} \oplus A_\alpha^{(2)} \quad \text{on } H = H_3 \oplus H_4 \oplus H_2,$$

where the operators  $A_\alpha^{(j)}$  are the restrictions of  $A_\alpha$  to  $D(A_\alpha^{(j)}) = D(A_\alpha) \cap H_j$  and correspond to the closed forms  $a_\alpha^{(j)}$ , given by the differential expression (1.3) on  $D[a_\alpha^{(j)}]$ ,  $j = 2, 3, 4$ . Put

$$(3.2) \quad A_\alpha^{(1)} = A_\alpha^{(3)} \oplus A_\alpha^{(4)} \quad \text{on } H_1 = H_3 \oplus H_4,$$

being the restriction of  $A_\alpha$  to  $D(A_\alpha) \cap H_1$ . Then it holds

$$(3.3) \quad A_\alpha = A_\alpha^{(1)} \oplus A_\alpha^{(2)} \quad \text{on } H = H_1 \oplus H_2.$$

Decomposition (3.3) reflects the spatial symmetry of the operator  $A_\alpha$ , while (3.2) exploits the specific internal structure of  $A_\alpha$ . These symmetries depend on the

above-mentioned restriction to perturbation functions  $f$  which are constant in  $x_3$ -direction. We also note that (3.2) fails for elasticity operators with non-zero Poisson coefficients.

The hierarchy of symmetry spaces  $H_j$  for the vector-valued displacement functions carries over to the matrix-valued stress functions. We set

$$H^\times = \{w \in L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}) : w = w^T\}$$

and define the subspaces  $H_j^\times$  of  $H^\times$  by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^\times &:= \{w \in H^\times : w_{ij}(\cdot, -x_3) = \delta w_{ij}(\cdot, x_3), \text{ where} \\ &\quad \delta = 1 \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2\} \text{ or } i = j = 3 \text{ and } \delta = -1 \text{ otherwise}\}, \\ H_4^\times &:= \{w \in H_1^\times : \int_J w_{ij}(\cdot, x_3) dx_3 = 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2\}\}, \\ H_2^\times &:= H^\times \ominus H_1^\times, \quad H_3^\times := H_1^\times \ominus H_4^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H^\times = H_3^\times \oplus H_4^\times \oplus H_2^\times$$

and

$$\epsilon(u) \in H_j^\times \quad \text{for } u \in D[a_0] \cap H_j.$$

For functions  $u \in D(Q) := D[a_0^{(4)}]$  we define the operator  $Qu = \sqrt{2}\epsilon(u)$ . It holds that  $A_0^{(4)} = Q^*Q$ . In Section 5.2 we will need the operator

$$(3.4) \quad U := Q \left( A_0^{(4)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad U : H_4 \rightarrow H_4^\times$$

which is an isometry between  $H_4$  and the range of  $U$ ,

$$R(U) = H_4^\times \ominus (\ker Q^*).$$

This follows from

$$\|Uv\|_\times^2 = \|Q(A_0^{(4)})^{-\frac{1}{2}}v\|_\times^2 = \|\sqrt{2}\epsilon((A_0^{(4)})^{-\frac{1}{2}}v)\|_\times^2 = a_0^{(4)}[(A_0^{(4)})^{-\frac{1}{2}}v] = \|v\|^2$$

for all  $v \in H_4$  where  $\|\cdot\|_\times$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})$ .

**3.2. Separation of variables for  $A_0$ .** Applying the unitary Fourier transform  $\Phi$  in  $(x_1, x_2)$ -direction and its inverse  $\Phi^*$ , one finds that  $\Phi A_0 \Phi^*$  permits the orthogonal decomposition

$$\Phi A_0 \Phi^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^\oplus A(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{on} \quad H = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^\oplus h d\xi, \quad h = L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3).$$

The self-adjoint operators  $A(\xi)$  are given by the differential expressions

$$(3.5) \quad A(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_3^2 + |\xi|^2 + \xi_1^2 & \xi_1 \xi_2 & -i\xi_1 \partial_3 \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 & -\partial_3^2 + |\xi|^2 + \xi_2^2 & -i\xi_2 \partial_3 \\ -i\xi_1 \partial_3 & -i\xi_2 \partial_3 & -2\partial_3^2 + |\xi|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the domains

$$(3.6) \quad D(A(\xi)) = \{u \in H^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3) : \partial_3 u_3|_{t=\pm\pi/2} = (\partial_3 u_1 + i\xi_1 u_3)|_{t=\pm\pi/2} = 0, \\ (\partial_3 u_2 + i\xi_2 u_3)|_{t=\pm\pi/2} = 0\}.$$

The symmetry (3.1) extends to the operators  $A(\xi)$ . Indeed, put

$$h_j := \{u \in h : u_{1/2}(t) = (-1)^{j-1} u_{1/2}(-t), u_3(t) = (-1)^j u_3(-t)\}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$h_3 := \text{span}\{(1, 0, 0)^T, (0, 1, 0)^T\}, \quad h_4 := \{u \in h_1 : \int_J u_i(t) dt = 0, i = 1, 2\}.$$

Then we have

$$(3.7) \quad H_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\oplus} h_j d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi A_0^{(j)} \Phi^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\oplus} A^{(j)}(\xi) d\xi, \quad j = 1, \dots, 4,$$

where the operators  $A^{(j)}(\xi)$  are the restrictions of  $A(\xi)$  to  $D(A^{(j)}(\xi)) = D(A(\xi)) \cap h_j$ . Moreover, it holds

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} A(\xi) &= A^{(1)}(\xi) \oplus A^{(2)}(\xi) \quad \text{on} \quad h = h_1 \oplus h_2, \\ A(\xi) &= A^{(3)}(\xi) \oplus A^{(4)}(\xi) \oplus A^{(2)}(\xi) \quad \text{on} \quad h = h_3 \oplus h_4 \oplus h_2. \end{aligned}$$

The operators  $A^{(j)}(\xi)$  correspond to quadratic forms  $a^{(j)}(\xi)$  being closed on the domains  $D[a^{(j)}(\xi)] = H^1(J; \mathbb{C}^3) \cap h_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

**3.3. The spectral analysis of the operator  $A_0^{(4)}$ .** During this paper the spectral decomposition of the operator  $A_0^{(4)}$  shall be of particular interest. Because of the decomposition (3.7) we have in fact to carry out the spectral analysis of the operators  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$ . Being the restrictions of the non-negative second order Sturm-Liouville systems (3.5) to  $D(A(\xi)) \cap h_4$ , the operators  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$  have a non-negative discrete spectrum, which accumulates to infinity only. The eigenvalues of  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$  are invariant under rotations of  $\xi$ . If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$  with eigenfunction  $u(\xi) = (u_1(\xi), u_2(\xi), u_3(\xi))^T$ , then, for any unitary matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,  $\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue of  $A^{(4)}(M\xi)$  with the eigenfunction  $u(M\xi)$  fulfilling

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(M\xi) \\ u_2(M\xi) \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} u_1(\xi) \\ u_2(\xi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_3(M\xi) = u_3(\xi).$$

Therefore, we can restrict ourselves to the special case  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $r \geq 0$  where

$$A^{(4)}(\xi) = A^{(4)}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_3^2 + r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_3^2 + 2r^2 & -ir\partial_3 \\ 0 & -ir\partial_3 & -2\partial_3^2 + r^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator  $A^{(4)}(r)$  can be written as  $A^{(4)}(r) = \hat{A}^{(4)}(r) \oplus \check{A}^{(4)}(r)$  where the latter two operators are the restrictions of  $A^{(4)}(r)$  to

$$\begin{aligned} D(\hat{A}^{(4)}(r)) &= \{u \in D(A^{(4)}(r)) : u_1 = 0\}, \\ D(\check{A}^{(4)}(r)) &= \{u \in D(A^{(4)}(r)) : u_2 = u_3 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the eigenvalues  $\check{\lambda}_k(r)$  of  $\check{A}^{(4)}(r)$  it holds

$$(3.9) \quad \check{\lambda}_k(r) = r^2 + 4k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The eigenvalues  $\hat{\lambda}_k(r)$  of the operator  $\hat{A}^{(4)}(r)$  however exhibit a nontrivial structure which was analysed in [7]. The eigenvalues are simple for any fixed  $r$  and the functions  $r \mapsto \hat{\lambda}_k(r)$  are real analytic. The spectral minimum

$$(3.10) \quad \Lambda = \inf_{r \geq 0} \bigcup \sigma(\hat{A}^{(4)}(r)) = \inf_{r \geq 0} \hat{\lambda}_1(r)$$

is achieved for exactly one non-trivial value  $r = \varkappa > 0$ . Moreover it holds

$$(3.11) \quad \hat{\lambda}_1(\varkappa + \varepsilon) = \Lambda + q^2 \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for a certain  $q > 0$ . Applying the function  $u(t) = (0, 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \cos(t), i \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(t))^T$  to the quadratic form  $\hat{a}^{(4)}(r)$  associated with  $\hat{A}^{(4)}(r)$  yields for  $r = 1$

$$\frac{\hat{a}^{(4)}(1)[u]}{\|u\|_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)}^2} = 2$$

and therefore  $\Lambda \leq 2$ . Comparing this with (3.9) we achieve for the ground state  $\lambda_1(r)$  of  $A^{(4)}(r)$

$$\Lambda = \inf_{r \geq 0} \sigma(A^{(4)}(r)) = \inf_{r \geq 0} \lambda_1(r)$$

where the infimum is attained exactly at  $r = \varkappa$ . As stated in [7], it holds

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_1(\varkappa + \varepsilon) = \Lambda + q^2 \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for some  $q > 0$ . A numerical evaluation gives

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \varkappa &= 0.632138 \pm 10^{-6}, \\ \Lambda &= 1.887837 \pm 10^{-6}, \\ q &= 0.849748 \pm 10^{-6}. \end{aligned}$$

Also from [7] we obtain that the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1(r)$  can be given by  $\psi_1 = \tilde{\psi}_1 / \|\tilde{\psi}_1\|_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)}$  where

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(r, x_3) := (0, i r d_1(r, x_3), d_2(r, x_3))^T$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} d_1(r, t) &:= r \beta \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \beta\right) \cos(\gamma t) + \frac{\gamma^2 \beta}{r} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma\right) \cos(\beta t), \\ d_2(r, t) &:= -r \beta \gamma \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \beta\right) \sin(\gamma t) + r \gamma^2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma\right) \sin(\beta t) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\beta = \sqrt{\lambda_1(r) - r^2}$  and  $\gamma = \sqrt{\lambda_1(r)/2 - r^2}$ . Note that this is only valid for  $\gamma \neq 0$  which is fulfilled in a neighbourhood of  $r = \varkappa$ .

For general  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$  in a neighbourhood of  $|\xi| = \varkappa$  we denote the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1(\xi)$  again by  $\psi_1 = \tilde{\psi}_1 / \|\tilde{\psi}_1\|_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)}$  where now

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{\psi}_1(\xi, x_3) = (i \xi_1 d_1(|\xi|, x_3), i \xi_2 d_1(|\xi|, x_3), d_2(|\xi|, x_3))^T.$$

Moreover, the spectral minimum of  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$  is attained for  $|\xi| = \varkappa$  where  $\lambda_1(\xi) = \Lambda$ . For such  $\xi$  the functions

$$(3.16) \quad w_\xi(x) = \psi_1(\xi, x_3) e^{i \xi \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}}$$

fulfil

$$(3.17) \quad -(\Delta + \text{grad div}) w_\xi(x) = \Lambda w_\xi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in G.$$

## 4. EXISTENCE OF EIGENVALUES

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $L^2$ -sense, then  $A_\alpha^{(4)}$  has infinitely many eigenvalues in  $[0, \Lambda)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .*

The idea of the proof is to construct suitable test spaces from the functions  $w_\xi$  in (3.16) such that the quadratic form of  $A_\alpha^{(4)}$  is strictly lower than  $\Lambda$  for all normed functions of these spaces. Then the proof follows from an application of the variational principle.

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 4.1.** First we define suitable cut-off functions for  $w_\xi$ . Let  $\tilde{\zeta} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\tilde{\zeta}(t) = 1$  for  $t < 1$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}(t) = 0$  for  $t > 2$  and  $0 \leq \tilde{\zeta}(t) \leq 1$  for  $t \in (1, 2)$  and set

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(x) := \tilde{\zeta}(\varepsilon \ln |x|) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \varepsilon > 0.$$

It holds  $\zeta_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \zeta_\varepsilon|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, for arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we choose  $\xi^k \in \mathbb{R}^2, k = 1, \dots, m$  with  $|\xi^k| = \varkappa$  such that  $\xi_1^k \neq \xi_1^l$  for  $k \neq l$ . We set

$$u_k^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = \zeta_\varepsilon(x_1, x_2) w_{\xi^k}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in G$$

and define the test space

$$\mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)} = \text{span}\{u_k^{(\varepsilon)} : k = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

As we show below,

$$(4.2) \quad \dim \mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)} = m \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore every element of  $\mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)}$  can be represented in a unique way as

$$u_\eta = \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k u_k^{(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

We recall that  $a_\alpha[u] = a_0[u] - \alpha v[u]$  for  $u \in D[a_0]$  where the quadratic form  $v$  was defined in (2.6). As we will prove below it holds

$$(4.3) \quad \inf_{0 \neq u_\eta \in \mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)}} \frac{v[u_\eta]}{|\eta|^2} \geq c_0$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$  independent of sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{0 \neq u_\eta \in \mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)}} \frac{a_0^{(4)}[u_\eta] - \Lambda \|u_\eta\|^2}{|\eta|^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, \alpha c_0)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha^{(4)}[u_\eta] &= a_0^{(4)}[u_\eta] - \alpha v[u_\eta] = a_0^{(4)}[u_\eta] - \Lambda \|u_\eta\|^2 - \alpha v[u_\eta] + \Lambda \|u_\eta\|^2 \\ &< \delta(\varepsilon) |\eta|^2 - \alpha c_0 |\eta|^2 + \Lambda \|u_\eta\|^2 \\ &< \Lambda \|u_\eta\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u_\eta \in \mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)}$ . From Glazman's Lemma [14, 10.2.2] it follows the existence of at least  $m$  eigenvalues (including multiplicities) below  $\Lambda$ . Because  $m$  was arbitrary, this proves the theorem.



**4.2. Proof of (4.2).** Choose an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\zeta_\varepsilon|_{I \times \{x_2\}} = 1$ . Recall the definition of  $w_\varepsilon$  in (3.16) and of  $\psi_1$  in (3.15). Choose  $x_3 \in J$  such that  $d_2(\varkappa, x_3) \neq 0$ . Then for arbitrary  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^m$  the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k u_k^{(\varepsilon)}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times J$$

evaluated for  $x \in I \times \{x_2\} \times \{x_3\}$  implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k e^{i\xi_1^k x_1} = 0, \quad x_1 \in I.$$

Because  $\xi_1^k \neq \xi_1^l$  for  $k \neq l$  the functions  $x_1 \mapsto e^{i\xi_1^k x_1}, k = 1, \dots, m$  are linearly independent. Hence,  $\eta = 0$ . This proves the linear independence of the functions  $u_k^{(\varepsilon)}$ .

**4.3. Proof of (4.3).** Because  $f \neq 0$  in  $L^2$ -sense there exists  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  open, nonempty and  $c > 0$  such that for  $\chi_\Omega$  being the characteristic function of  $\Omega$  it holds  $c\chi_\Omega(x) \leq f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence,

$$\inf_{|\eta|=1} v[u_\eta] = \inf_{|\eta|=1} 2 \int_G f |\epsilon(u_\eta)|^2 dx \geq \inf_{|\eta|=1} 2c \int_{\Omega \times J} |\epsilon(u_\eta)|^2 dx =: c_0.$$

We consider only sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\zeta_\varepsilon|_\Omega = 1$ . Then  $c_0$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ . If  $c_0 = 0$  there must be some function  $v_\eta \in \mathcal{E}_m^{(\varepsilon)}, |\eta| = 1$  such that  $\int_{\Omega \times J} |\epsilon(v_\eta)|^2 dx = 0$ . Particularly this means

$$0 = \partial_3 u_{\eta,3}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k \partial_3 u_{k,3}^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \times J.$$

In analogy to Subsection 4.2 we obtain  $\eta = 0$  which is obviously contrary to the requirement  $|\eta| = 1$ . This proves  $c_0 > 0$ .

**4.4. Proof of (4.4).** Note that  $\|u\|_a = \left(a_0^{(4)}[u] - \Lambda \|u\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $u \in D[a_0^{(4)}]$  defines a norm in  $D[a_0^{(4)}]$ . Therefore it holds that

$$(4.5) \quad \|u_\eta\|_a^2 \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |\eta_k| \|u_k^{(\varepsilon)}\|_a \right)^2 \leq |\eta|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \|u_k^{(\varepsilon)}\|_a^2.$$

A direct calculation using the definitions of  $w_\varepsilon$  in (3.16) and  $\psi_1$  in (3.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k^{(\varepsilon)}\|_a^2 &= a_0^{(4)}[u_k^{(\varepsilon)}] - \Lambda \|u_k^{(\varepsilon)}\|^2 \\ &= \int_G (2|\psi_{1,1}|^2 + |\psi_{1,3}|^2) |\partial_1 \zeta_\varepsilon|^2 + (2|\psi_{1,2}|^2 + |\psi_{1,3}|^2) |\partial_2 \zeta_\varepsilon|^2 + \\ &\quad + |\psi_{1,2} \partial_1 \zeta_\varepsilon + \psi_{1,1} \partial_2 \zeta_\varepsilon|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|u_k^{(\varepsilon)}\|_a^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \zeta_\varepsilon|^2 d(x_1, x_2)$$

for  $C > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . The result follows now from (4.1) and (4.5).

## 5. AUXILIARY MATERIAL II

**5.1. The classes  $\Sigma_\pi$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\pi$ .** In order to describe the exponential accumulation rate of the eigenvalues of  $A_\alpha^{(4)}$  at  $\Lambda$  we need to generalise the well-known weak Neumann-Schatten classes  $\Sigma_p$ , see [14, 11.6], and the classes  $\mathcal{S}^p$  introduced by Safronov [15, 8]. They are both designed to measure polynomial accumulation rates only. We develop these generalisations only as far as it is needed here. Particularly we abandon to postulate conditions ensuring basic properties like linearity or ideal structure for the generalised classes. For a systematic treatment of such extensions see for example [16, 17].

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s) = 0$ . Then for suitable compact operators  $T$  we can define the functionals

$$\Delta_\pi(T) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s)n(s, T), \quad \delta_\pi(T) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s)n(s, T).$$

We denote by  $\Sigma_\pi$  the class of compact operators  $T$  which fulfil  $\Delta_\pi(T) < \infty$ . Analogously, for suitable operator functions  $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  we define the functionals

$$\Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s)n(1, \mathcal{T}(s)), \quad \delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) = \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s)n(1, \mathcal{T}(s)).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}^\pi$  the class of operator functions  $\mathcal{T}$  which fulfil  $\Delta_\pi(\beta\mathcal{T}) < \infty$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{S}_0^\pi$  is the class of all  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}^\pi$  fulfilling  $\Delta_\pi(\beta\mathcal{T}) = 0$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . We will need the following two lemmata which were also stated in [8] for the polynomial case.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}^\pi$  and  $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0^\pi$*

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} \Delta_\pi(\beta\mathcal{T}) = \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{implies} \quad \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0) = \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} \delta_\pi(\beta\mathcal{T}) = \delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{implies} \quad \delta_\pi(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0) = \delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}).$$

*Proof.* From Ky-Fan's inequality [14, 11.1.3] it follows

$$n(1, \mathcal{T}(s) + \mathcal{T}_0(s)) \leq n(1 - \varepsilon, \mathcal{T}(s)) + n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{T}_0(s)) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon, s > 0$$

which leads to

$$\Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0) \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} (\pi(s)n(1 - \varepsilon, \mathcal{T}(s)) + \pi(s)n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{T}_0(s))) \leq \Delta_\pi(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\mathcal{T}).$$

Using the condition from (5.1) we obtain

$$\Delta_\pi(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore

$$\Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0) \leq \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}).$$

Analogously it holds

$$(5.3) \quad \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T}) \leq \Delta_\pi(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0)) + \Delta_\pi(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow \Delta_\pi(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}_0)$$

which proves the first implication. The second one is established in the same way.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\infty$  fulfil  $\mathcal{T}(s) \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Then for all  $\pi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s) = 0$  it holds  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}_0^\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}(s) = T$  for  $s > 0$ . Obviously, it holds  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}_0^\pi$ . Furthermore,

$$\Delta_\pi(\beta(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{U})) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \pi(s)n(\beta^{-1}, \mathcal{T}(s) - T) = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta > 0$$

because  $n(\beta^{-1}, \mathcal{T}(s) - T)$  is bounded as  $\|\mathcal{T}(s) - T\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}_0^\pi$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  fulfils the condition in (5.1), Lemma 5.1 yields  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}_0^\pi$ .  $\square$

**5.2. A modified Birman-Schwinger principle.** Using the results from Subsection 3.1 we define a modified Birman-Schwinger type operator

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(\tau) := \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\alpha \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

in  $H_4$  for  $\tau \in (0, \Lambda)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  where

$$(5.5) \quad V_\alpha = U^* \sqrt{\alpha f} (I - \alpha \sqrt{f} U U^* \sqrt{f})^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha f} U$$

and  $U$  is the isometry defined in (3.4). Since  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; [0, 1])$ , the operator  $V_\alpha$  is bounded. The following version of the Birman-Schwinger principle [18, 19] is crucial for our considerations.

**Lemma 5.3.** *For  $\tau \in (0, \Lambda)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  it holds*

$$(5.6) \quad n_- \left( \Lambda - \tau, A_\alpha^{(4)} \right) = n_+ (1, \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(\tau)).$$

*Proof.* For convenience, we put  $A := A_0^{(4)}$  and  $g_\alpha := \sqrt{\alpha f}$ . Furthermore, the expression  $L \prec H$  means that  $L$  is a subspace of  $H$ . Using Glazman's lemma [14, 10.2.2] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_-(\delta, A_\alpha^{(4)}) &= \sup_{L \prec D[a_0^{(4)}]} \{ \dim L : a_0^{(4)}[u] - \alpha v[u] < \delta \|u\|^2, \quad 0 \neq u \in L \} \\ &= \sup_{L \prec D[a_0^{(4)}]} \{ \dim L : \|(A - \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^2 < \alpha v[u], \quad 0 \neq u \in L \}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the substitution  $v := (A - \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u$  and Glazman's lemma we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_-(\delta, A_\alpha^{(4)}) &= \sup_{L \prec H_4} \{ \dim L : \|v\|^2 < \|g_\alpha Q(A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\|_\times^2, \quad 0 \neq v \in L \} \\ &= n_+(1, (A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^* g_\alpha^2 Q(A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\times$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(G; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})$ . Next, we define a bounded operator  $\mathcal{W} : H_4 \rightarrow H_4^\times$  by

$$\mathcal{W} = g_\alpha Q(A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = g_\alpha U A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As is well-known [14, 3.10], the discrete and essential spectrum of  $\mathcal{W}^* \mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^*$  coincide, except for the point zero. Because

$$\mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^* = g_\alpha U U^* g_\alpha + \delta g_\alpha U (A - \delta)^{-1} U^* g_\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_-(\delta, A_\alpha^{(4)}) &= n_+(1, g_\alpha U U^* g_\alpha + \delta g_\alpha U (A - \delta)^{-1} U^* g_\alpha) \\ &= \sup_{L \prec H_4^\times} \{ \dim L : \|\tilde{V}_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} w\|_\times^2 < \|\mathcal{T}_\alpha w\|^2, \quad 0 \neq w \in L \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_\alpha = (I - g_\alpha U U^* g_\alpha)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_\alpha = \sqrt{\delta} (A - \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} U^* g_\alpha.$$

Applying the substitution  $\tilde{w} := \tilde{V}_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} w$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_-(\delta, A_\alpha^{(4)}) &= \sup_{L \prec H_4^\times} \{ \dim L : \|\tilde{w}\|_\times^2 < \|\mathcal{T}_\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{w}\|^2, 0 \neq \tilde{w} \in L \} \\ &= n_+(1, \tilde{V}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^* \mathcal{T}_\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the spectrum of  $\tilde{V}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^* \mathcal{T}_\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha \mathcal{T}_\alpha^*$  coincides, except in the point zero, we obtain

$$n_-(\delta, A_\alpha^{(4)}) = n_+(1, \mathcal{T}_\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha \mathcal{T}_\alpha^*)$$

which is (5.6) for  $\delta = \Lambda - \tau$ .  $\square$

We recall that  $U$ , defined in (3.4), is an isometry between  $H_4$  and  $R(U)$ . Therefore the operator  $UU^*$  is an orthogonal projection onto  $R(U)$  fulfilling

$$0 \leq UU^* \leq I.$$

Here 0 and  $I$  are the zero and identity operator in  $H_4^\times$ . Hence, from the definition of  $V_\alpha$  in (5.5) we get

$$(5.7) \quad U^* \alpha f U \leq V_\alpha \leq U^* \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} f U.$$

Defining

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{Y}(\tau) := \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* f U \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \alpha \mathcal{Y}(\tau) \leq \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(\tau) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \mathcal{Y}(\tau)$$

for  $\tau \in (0, \Lambda)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . This allows us to work with the simplified Birman-Schwinger type operator  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$  instead of  $\mathcal{Y}_\alpha(\tau)$ .

Note that the operator  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$  is compact. This can be obtained by considering the operator

$$(5.10) \quad (\Lambda - \tau) \sqrt{f} U \left( A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau \right)^{-1} U^* \sqrt{f}$$

in  $H_4^\times$  which has the same spectrum beyond zero as  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$ . Since

$$U \left( A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau \right)^{-1} U^* = Q \left( A_0^{(4)} (A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau) \right)^{-1} Q^*$$

is an operator of order minus two and  $f$  has compact support, the compactness of (5.10) is a consequence of the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem [20].

**5.3. Reduction to the spectral minimum of  $A_0^{(4)}$ .** We develop a slightly modified version of [8]. As in Subsection 3.3,  $\lambda_1(\xi)$  denotes the lowest branch of eigenvalues of  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$ . The corresponding normed eigenfunction in  $L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)$  is  $\psi_1(\xi, \cdot)$ . We set

$$(5.11) \quad \lambda_0(\xi) := \lambda_1(\xi) - \Lambda \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Xi$$

where  $\Xi := \lambda_1^{-1}([\Lambda, \Lambda + \delta])$  for suitable  $\delta > 0$ . The spectral projection of  $A_0^{(4)}$  onto  $[\Lambda, \Lambda + \delta)$  is given by

$$(\Pi_c u)(x) := \Phi_{\xi \mapsto (x_1, x_2)}^* \left( \chi_\Xi(\xi) \langle (\Phi u)(\xi, \cdot), \psi_1(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)} \psi_1(\xi, x_3) \right), \quad u \in H_4.$$

Then the unitary operator

$$\Pi_0 : \Pi_c H_4 \rightarrow L^2(\Xi), \quad (\Pi_0 u)(\xi) := \langle (\Phi u)(\xi, \cdot), \psi_1(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^3)}$$

maps  $\Pi_c H_4$  into the Fourier transformed scalar-valued regime. Next, we define

$$P(\xi) := \begin{cases} +\sqrt{\lambda_0(\xi)} & \text{for } |\xi| \geq \varkappa, \\ -\sqrt{\lambda_0(\xi)} & \text{for } |\xi| \leq \varkappa. \end{cases}$$

From the properties of  $\lambda_1(\xi)$  which were collected in Subsection 3.3 we obtain  $P \in C^1(\Xi)$ . Furthermore,  $P$  depends only on  $|\xi|$ . Using (3.12) and (5.11) we obtain

$$|\nabla P(\xi)| = q \quad \text{for } |\xi| = \varkappa$$

and therefore  $|\nabla P(\xi)| > 0$  for  $\xi \in \Xi$  if we choose  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small. Now we are able to describe  $A_0^{(4)}$  by a suitable direct integral. Let

$$M_\lambda = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : P(\xi) = \lambda\} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Theta := (-\sqrt{\delta}, \sqrt{\delta}).$$

In particular,  $M_0 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| = \varkappa\}$  is the set where  $\lambda_1(\cdot)$  attains its minimum. We denote by  $dM_\lambda$  the measure on  $M_\lambda$  which is induced by  $d\xi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Furthermore, we set  $d\mu_\lambda := |\nabla P|^{-1} dM_\lambda$  and

$$G(\lambda) := L^2(M_\lambda, d\mu_\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Theta.$$

The measures  $d\xi$  and  $d\mu_\lambda d\lambda$  coincide on  $\Xi$ . With these definitions we obtain

$$\lambda_0 = \int_{\Theta}^{\oplus} \lambda^2 d\lambda \quad \text{on } L^2(\Xi) = \int_{\Theta}^{\oplus} G(\lambda) d\lambda$$

where  $\lambda^2$  has to be interpreted as the operator of multiplication by  $\lambda^2$  in  $G(\lambda)$ . The spaces  $G(\lambda)$  are unitarily equivalent. We denote by  $U_\lambda : G(\lambda) \rightarrow G(0)$  the corresponding unitary operator. It follows that the space  $L^2(\Xi)$  is equivalent to

$$G_\Theta := L^2(\Theta) \otimes G(0)$$

where the corresponding unitary operator  $\Upsilon : L^2(\Xi) \rightarrow G_\Theta$  is given by

$$(\Upsilon u)(\lambda) = U_\lambda(u|_{M_\lambda}) \quad \text{for } u \in L^2(\Xi) \quad \text{and almost every } \lambda \in \Theta.$$

Finally, we define the isometry

$$(5.12) \quad F := \Upsilon \Pi_0$$

between  $\Pi_c H_4$  and  $G_\Theta$  which reduces the operator  $A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda$  to its essential behaviour near the spectral minimum. Namely, if we define  $J_\lambda u := (Fu)(\lambda)$  for  $u \in \Pi_c H_4$  and almost every  $\lambda \in \Theta$  it holds

$$(5.13) \quad J_\lambda(A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda)u = \lambda^2 J_\lambda u.$$

**5.4. Reduction of  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$  to the spectral minimum.** For  $u \in H_4^\times$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda \Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f} u &= U_\lambda \left[ \Pi_0 \Pi_c (Q(A_0^{(4)})^{-\frac{1}{2}})^* \sqrt{f} u \right]_{M_\lambda} \\ &= U_\lambda \left[ \langle \Phi \sqrt{f} u, \varphi_1 \rangle_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})} \right]_{M_\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_1(\xi, x_3) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(\xi)}} (\Phi Q \Phi^* \psi_1)(\xi, x_3) \\
 (5.14) \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1(\xi)}} \left( \begin{pmatrix} i\xi \\ \partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \psi_1(\xi, x_3) + \left( \begin{pmatrix} i\xi \\ \partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \psi_1(\xi, x_3) \right)^T \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\xi \in \Xi$  and  $x_3 \in J$ . Here  $\lambda_1(\xi)$  denotes the lowest eigenvalue of  $A^{(4)}(\xi)$  corresponding to the normed eigenfunction  $\psi_1(\xi, \cdot)$  as stated in Subsection 3.3. Because of the compact support of  $f$  and the smoothness of  $\varphi_1$  in  $\xi$ , the function  $\langle \Phi \sqrt{f} u, \varphi_1 \rangle_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})}$  is also smooth in  $\xi$  for every  $u \in H_4^\times$ . Therefore, the operator  $X : H_4^\times \rightarrow G(0)$  with

$$(5.15) \quad Xu := \left[ \langle \Phi \sqrt{f} u, \varphi_1 \rangle_{L^2(J; \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})} \right]_{M_0} \quad \text{for } u \in H_4^\times$$

is well-defined. Moreover, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(5.16) \quad \|Xu - J_\lambda \Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f} u\|_{G(0)} \leq C \lambda \|u\|_\times \quad \text{for } u \in H_4^\times, \lambda \in \Theta.$$

The essential spectral properties of the Birman-Schwinger operator (5.8) can be found in the operator

$$(5.17) \quad \mathcal{L}(\tau) := F^* \mathcal{K}(\tau) \mathcal{K}^*(\tau) F \oplus \mathbb{O} \quad \text{on } \Pi_c H_4 \oplus (I - \Pi_c) H_4,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$  is given by

$$(5.18) \quad \mathcal{K}(\tau) : H_4^\times \rightarrow G_\Theta : u \mapsto \eta_\tau \otimes Xu.$$

The function

$$(5.19) \quad \eta_\tau(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{\lambda^2 + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Theta$$

corresponds to the outer terms of the simplified Birman-Schwinger operator (5.8). We will see that the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  is basically determined by the spectrum of the operator

$$(5.20) \quad K = X X^*$$

which is a compact integral operator in  $L^2(M_0, d\mu_0)$  with kernel

$$(5.21) \quad k(\eta, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_G f(x) e^{i(\eta - \xi) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}} \langle \varphi_1(\eta, x_3), \varphi_1(\xi, x_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx, \quad \eta, \xi \in M_0.$$

## 6. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We assume  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $L^2$ -sense. Let  $(\varkappa_l(\alpha))_l$  be the eigenvalues of  $A_\alpha^{(4)}$  below  $\Lambda$  in nondecreasing order including multiplicities. These eigenvalues are embedded eigenvalues for the complete operator  $A_\alpha$ . Let furthermore  $(\lambda_l(K))_l$  be the eigenvalues of  $K$  in non-increasing order including multiplicities.

**Theorem 6.1.** *For all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  it holds that*

$$(6.1) \quad \varkappa_l(\alpha) = \Lambda - \alpha^2 (\Lambda \pi \lambda_l(K))^2 + o(\alpha^2) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

For the calculation of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_l(K)$  in the case of rotationally symmetric perturbations see Section 8. There we express  $\lambda_l(K)$  in terms of a double series and provide estimates on  $\lambda_l(K)$  for sufficiently big  $l$ .

For our second result we need to restrict ourselves to perturbations  $f$  which are rotationally symmetric, i.e.  $f(x) = f(|x|)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We set

$$(6.2) \quad a := \sup\{|x| : x \in \text{ess supp } f\}$$

and define

$$(6.3) \quad f_t := \int_0^1 f(ar) r^{t-3} dr \quad \text{for } t \in [3, \infty).$$

Here  $f$  is interpreted as function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  depending only on the radial variable. Furthermore, we set

$$(6.4) \quad w_-(t) := t^4 f_t^2 \left( \frac{a\kappa e}{t} \right)^{2t}, \quad w_+(t) := t^8 f_t^2 \left( \frac{a\kappa e}{t} \right)^{2t}.$$

Note that the inverse functions  $w_{\pm}^{-1}$  exist if we consider  $w_{\pm} : (t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \tau_0)$  for sufficiently big  $t_0 > 0$  which corresponds to small  $\tau_0 > 0$ . Now we can state the estimate on the counting function for eigenvalues of  $A_{\alpha}^{(4)}$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** *It holds*

$$(6.5) \quad \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{n_-(\Lambda - \tau, A_{\alpha}^{(4)})}{w_+^{-1}(\tau)} = 1, \quad \liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{n_-(\Lambda - \tau, A_{\alpha}^{(4)})}{w_-^{-1}(\tau)} = 1.$$

From Theorem 6.2 we can derive the following two estimates on the eigenvalues of  $A_{\alpha}^{(4)}$ .

**Corollary 6.3.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that*

$$(6.6) \quad w_-((1 + \varepsilon)k) \leq \Lambda - \kappa_k(\alpha) \leq w_+((1 - \varepsilon)k)$$

*holds for all  $k > N_{\varepsilon}$ .*

**Corollary 6.4.** *It holds*

$$(6.7) \quad \ln(\Lambda - \kappa_k(\alpha)) = -2k \ln k + o(k \ln k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remark 6.5.** Because the estimate in Corollary 6.4 does not depend on  $f$ , it is valid for all functions  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; [0, 1])$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$  in  $L^2$ -sense, with compact support. Every such function can be estimated almost everywhere from below and from above by a non-trivial rotationally symmetric function, up to translations.

**Remark 6.6.** It is interesting to note that the estimates in Theorem 6.2, Corollary 6.3 and 6.4 do not depend on the coupling constant  $\alpha$ . Moreover, the leading term in the asymptotic formula (6.7) does not at all depend on the perturbation. This is a consequence of the super-polynomial type of eigenvalue accumulation, as can be seen in Lemma 7.1 and its applications in (7.10) and (8.9).

**Remark 6.7.** The result (6.7) is very similar to asymptotics obtained in [9, 10]. There it was shown that the negative eigenvalues  $\Lambda_n$  of the three-dimensional Pauli operator with constant magnetic field perturbed by a compactly supported potential fulfil

$$(6.8) \quad \ln(-\Lambda_k) = -2k \ln k + O(k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Although the Pauli operator is quite different to the elasticity operator, there is a common property which may explain the similarity of the accumulation rate asymptotics: in both cases an operator with strongly degenerated spectral edge is perturbed by a compactly supported perturbation.

We suppose that differences between the two problems become visible by a refined analysis of the asymptotic formulas. In [11] a detailed investigation of the Pauli operator was accomplished yielding also the second term of the asymptotic expansion for (6.8). It is an interesting open problem whether the methods from [11] can be used to obtain also a refined formula for the elastic problem.

## 7. PROOFS OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES

In the following we denote by  $\lambda_l(\cdot)$  the eigenvalues of the corresponding nonnegative compact operator in non-increasing order including multiplicities.

**7.1. Proof of Theorem 6.1.** From the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  in (5.17) and from the minimax principle [14, 9.2.4] it follows that

$$(7.1) \quad \lambda_l(\mathcal{L}(\tau)) = \|\eta_\tau\|_{L^2(\Theta)}^2 \lambda_l(K) = \frac{2(\Lambda - \tau) \arctan(\sqrt{\delta/\tau})}{\sqrt{\tau}} \lambda_l(K)$$

and therefore

$$\lambda_l(\sqrt{\tau}\mathcal{L}(\tau)) = \Lambda\pi\lambda_l(K) + o(1) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 9.2 which we will prove below gives us

$$\|\sqrt{\tau}\mathcal{Y}(\tau) - \sqrt{\tau}\mathcal{L}(\tau)\| \leq C(\sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt[4]{\tau}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

which leads to

$$(7.2) \quad \lambda_l(\sqrt{\tau}\mathcal{Y}(\tau)) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\lambda_l(K) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

From the Birman-Schwinger principle (5.6) it follows that

$$\lambda_l(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha(\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha))) = 1.$$

With (5.9) this yields

$$\lambda_l(\alpha\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha))) \leq 1 \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \lambda_l(\alpha\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha)))$$

and therefore

$$(7.3) \quad \lambda_l(\alpha\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha))) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $A_\alpha^{(4)} \geq (1-\alpha)A_0^{(4)}$  it holds that  $\inf \sigma(A_\alpha^{(4)}) \geq (1-\alpha)\Lambda$ . Hence,

$$\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

If we replace now  $\tau$  by  $\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha)$  in (7.2) and compare it with (7.3), we obtain

$$\alpha^{-1} \sqrt{\Lambda - \varkappa_l(\alpha)} \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\lambda_l(K) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$



**7.2. Proof of Corollary 6.4.** From Corollary 6.3 it follows that we can find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon_k > 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\ln w_-((1 + \varepsilon_k)k) \leq \ln(\Lambda - \varkappa_k(\alpha)) \leq \ln w_+((1 - \varepsilon_k)k)$$

holds for all  $k > N$ . From the definition of  $w_\pm$  in (6.4) it follows

$$\ln w_\pm((1 \mp \varepsilon_k)k) = -2k \ln k + 2 \ln f_{(1 \mp \varepsilon_k)k} + o(k \ln k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It remains to show that  $\ln f_{(1 \mp \varepsilon_k)k} = o(k \ln k)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . But because  $f \neq 0$  in  $L^2$ -sense we find some non-empty interval  $I = [at_1, at_2]$ ,  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  and some constant  $c > 0$  such that  $f \geq c\chi_I$  holds almost everywhere. Therefore, we obtain

$$|\ln f_{(1 \mp \varepsilon_k)k}| \leq c \left| \ln \int_{t_1}^{t_2} r^{(1 \mp \varepsilon_k)k-3} dr \right| = o(k \ln k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**7.3. Proof of Corollary 6.3.** From Theorem 6.2 it follows that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{k}{w_+^{-1}(\Lambda - \varkappa_k(\alpha))} = \frac{n_-(\varkappa_k(\alpha) + 0, A_\alpha^{(4)})}{w_+^{-1}(\Lambda - \varkappa_k(\alpha))} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}$$

holds for all  $k > N_\varepsilon$ . Therefore, the upper estimate in (6.6) follows. The lower estimate is obtained analogously.  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 6.2 we need a small auxiliary result which states a very special behaviour of the functions

$$(7.4) \quad \varrho_\pm(\tau) := \frac{1}{w_\pm^{-1}(\tau)}.$$

**Lemma 7.1.** *For all  $c > 0$  it holds that*

$$(7.5) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varrho_\pm(\tau)}{\varrho_\pm(c\tau)} = 1.$$

*Proof.* We set

$$\tilde{w}_\pm(x) := w_\pm\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \varrho_\pm^{-1}(x)$$

and omit “ $\pm$ ” in  $\varrho_\pm$  and  $\tilde{w}_\pm$ . By the mean value theorem for sufficiently small  $\tau > 0$  and certain  $\xi \in (\min(\tau, c\tau), \max(\tau, c\tau))$  it holds that

$$\left| \ln \frac{\varrho(\tau)}{\varrho(c\tau)} \right| = |\ln \varrho(\tau) - \ln \varrho(c\tau)| = \frac{|1 - c|\tau}{\varrho(\xi)\tilde{w}'(\varrho(\xi))} \leq \frac{|1 - c^2|\xi}{c\varrho(\xi)\tilde{w}'(\varrho(\xi))}.$$

Because  $x := \varrho(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$0 \leq \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \left| \ln \frac{\varrho(\tau)}{\varrho(c\tau)} \right| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|1 - c^2|}{c} \frac{\tilde{w}(x)}{x\tilde{w}'(x)} = 0.$$

The latter can be derived from the definition of  $w_\pm$  in (6.4) where only the following small difficulty arises. One has to verify the boundedness of the expression

$$(7.6) \quad \left| \frac{\int_0^1 f(ar)r^n \ln r \, dr}{\int_0^1 f(ar)r^n \, dr} \right| \leq \frac{\int_0^1 f(ar)r^{n-1} \, dr}{\int_0^1 f(ar)r^n \, dr}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To obtain this we note that there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\int_0^1 f(ar)(r - \varepsilon_0) dr > 0$ . This is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_0} f(ar)(\varepsilon_0 - r) dr < \int_{\varepsilon_0}^1 f(ar)(r - \varepsilon_0) dr.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} f(ar)r^{n-1}(\varepsilon_0 - r) dr &\leq \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} f(ar)\varepsilon_0^{n-1}(\varepsilon_0 - r) dr \\ &< \int_{\varepsilon_0}^1 f(ar)\varepsilon_0^{n-1}(r - \varepsilon_0) dr \leq \int_{\varepsilon_0}^1 f(ar)r^{n-1}(r - \varepsilon_0) dr \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  which is equivalent to  $\int_0^1 f(ar)r^{n-1}(r - \varepsilon_0) dr > 0$ . This proves the boundedness of (7.6).  $\square$

**7.4. Proof of Theorem 6.2.** As we will prove in Section 8 below, the operator  $K$  defined in (5.20) fulfils

$$(7.7) \quad \Delta_{\pi_+}(K) = 1, \quad \delta_{\pi_-}(K) = 1, \quad \pi_{\pm}(\tau) := \varrho_{\pm}(\tau^2).$$

The functionals  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  were introduced in Subsection 5.1. From the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  in (5.17) and from the minimax principle [14, 9.2.4] it follows that

$$\lambda_l(\mathcal{L}(\tau)) = \|\eta_{\tau}\|_{L^2(\Theta)}^2 \lambda_l(K) = \frac{2(\Lambda - \tau) \arctan(\sqrt{\delta/\tau})}{\sqrt{\tau}} \lambda_l(K).$$

Therefore, if we set  $\zeta_{\tau} := 2(\Lambda - \tau) \arctan(\sqrt{\delta/\tau})$ , we obtain for arbitrary  $\beta > 0$

$$n(1, \beta \mathcal{L}(\tau)) = n((\beta \zeta_{\tau})^{-1} \sqrt{\tau}, K).$$

Note that  $\zeta_{\tau} \rightarrow \pi \Lambda$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Using Lemma 7.1 and (7.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\beta \mathcal{L}) &= \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \varrho_+(\tau) n(1, \beta \mathcal{L}(\tau)) \\ &= \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varrho_+(\tau)}{\varrho_+((\beta \zeta_{\tau})^{-2} \tau)} \varrho_+([( \beta \zeta_{\tau})^{-1} \sqrt{\tau}]^2) n((\beta \zeta_{\tau})^{-1} \sqrt{\tau}, K) \\ &= \Delta_{\pi_+}(K) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we achieve the result for  $\varrho_-$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$  in (5.17) it follows that  $n(1, \beta \mathcal{L}(\tau)) = n(1, \sqrt{\beta} F^* \mathcal{K}(\tau))$  and therefore

$$(7.8) \quad \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\beta F^* \mathcal{K}) = 1, \quad \delta_{\varrho_-}(\beta F^* \mathcal{K}) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0.$$

Let us denote by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) := \sqrt{f} U \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

the right part of the Birman-Schwinger operator (5.8). In Lemma 9.1 below we will show that  $\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau) - F^* \mathcal{K}(\tau)$  converges in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$  to a compact operator as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, we can apply Lemma 5.2 for this operator family and obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau) - F^* \mathcal{K}(\tau) \in \mathcal{S}_0^{\varrho_+}.$$

By (7.8) we have proven  $F^* \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{S}^{\varrho_+}$  and  $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\beta F^* \mathcal{K}) = \Delta_{\varrho_+}(F^* \mathcal{K})$ . Hence, Lemma 5.1 is applicable which yields

$$(7.9) \quad \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Y}) = \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Z}^*) = \Delta_{\varrho_+}(F^* \mathcal{K}) = 1.$$

Using Lemma 7.1 and inequality (5.9) we achieve

$$(7.10) \quad \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Y}) = \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\alpha\mathcal{Y}) \leq \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha) \leq \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\mathcal{Y}) = \Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

This and the same procedure for  $\delta_{\varrho_-}(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha)$  yield

$$\Delta_{\varrho_+}(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha) = 1, \quad \delta_{\varrho_-}(\mathcal{Y}_\alpha) = 1.$$

The proof is completed by applying the Birman-Schwinger principle (5.6).  $\square$

## 8. TREATMENT OF THE OPERATOR $K$

**8.1. Calculation of the eigenvalues.** As mentioned in Subsection 5.4, the operator  $K$  is an integral operator in  $L^2(M_0, d\mu_0)$  with kernel

$$(8.1) \quad k(\eta, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_G f(x) e^{i(\eta-\xi) \cdot \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)} \langle \varphi_1(\eta, x_3), \varphi_1(\xi, x_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}} dx, \quad \eta, \xi \in M_0.$$

If we remember the definition of  $\varphi_1$  in (5.14) and of  $\psi_1$  in (3.15) and set

$$p := \varkappa^2 \|d_1\|_J^2 + \|d_2\|_J^2, \quad p_0 = 2\|d_2'\|_J^2, \quad p_1 = \|d_1' + d_2\|_J^2, \quad p_2 = 2\|d_1\|_J^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_J$  is the norm in  $L^2(J)$  and  $d_{1/2}(t) := d_{1/2}(\varkappa, t)$ , we obtain

$$(8.2) \quad k(\eta, \xi) = \frac{p_2(\eta \cdot \xi)^2 + p_1(\eta \cdot \xi) + p_0}{\Lambda p (2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) e^{ix \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dx.$$

Keeping in mind that  $f$  has to be rotationally symmetric and  $a = \sup\{|x| : x \in \text{ess supp } f\}$  we obtain for the integral on the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) e^{ix \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dx &= \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(r) r e^{ir|\eta - \xi| \cos \varphi} d\varphi dr \\ &= 2\pi a^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k!)^2} \left( \frac{a|\eta - \xi|}{2} \right)^{2k} \int_0^1 f(ar) r^{2k+1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

With the substitutions

$$\eta = \varkappa \begin{pmatrix} \cos s \\ \sin s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi = \varkappa \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}, \quad d\mu_0 = \varkappa |[\nabla P]_{M_0}|^{-1} dt = \frac{\varkappa}{q} dt$$

we can consider the operator  $K$  also as operator in  $L^2((0, 2\pi))$ . Using

$$\eta \cdot \xi = \varkappa^2 \cos(s - t), \quad |\eta - \xi|^2 = 2\varkappa^2(1 - \cos(s - t))$$

and setting

$$\tilde{f}_k := \int_0^1 f(ar) r^{2k+1} dr,$$

the kernel of  $K$  can be rewritten as

$$(8.3) \quad k(s, t) = \frac{a^2 \varkappa}{2\pi \Lambda p q} \sum_{m=0}^2 p_m(\varkappa^2 \cos(s - t))^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a^2 \varkappa^2 (\cos(s - t) - 1))^k}{2^k (k!)^2} \tilde{f}_k.$$

Obviously,  $k(s, t)$  is a power series in  $\cos(s - t)$ . Therefore,  $k(s, t)$  can also be written as

$$(8.4) \quad k(s, t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{|l|} \frac{e^{il(s-t)}}{2\pi}$$

which means that  $K$  has exactly the eigenfunctions  $s \mapsto e^{\pm ins}$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\mu_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The eigenvalue  $\mu_n$  is given by

(8.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \mu_n e^{in \cdot 0} = \int_0^{2\pi} k(0, t) e^{int} dt \\ &= \frac{a^2 \varkappa}{\Lambda p q} \sum_{m=0}^2 p_m \varkappa^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a \varkappa)^{2k} \tilde{f}_k}{2^k (k!)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos(t))^m (\cos(t) - 1)^k e^{int} dt \\ &= \frac{a^2 \varkappa}{\Lambda p q} \sum_{m=0}^2 p_m \varkappa^{2m} \sum_{k=|n-m|_+}^{\infty} \frac{(a \varkappa)^{2k} \tilde{f}_k}{2^k (k!)^2} \sum_{l=\lceil \frac{m-n}{2} \rceil_+}^{\lfloor \frac{k-n+m}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+n+m}}{2^{2l+n}} \binom{k}{2l+n-m} \binom{2l+n}{l}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $|j|_+ = \frac{1}{2}(|j| + j)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**8.2. Estimates for the eigenvalues.** From a detailed analysis of the latter expression which will be given in Appendix A it follows that we can find  $c > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(8.6) \quad c^{-1} (2n)^3 f_{2n} \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{2n} \right)^{2n} \leq \mu_n \leq c (2n)^3 f_{2n} \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{2n} \right)^{2n} \quad \text{for all } n > N.$$

Notice that we use the expression  $f_{2n}$  in this formula which was defined in (6.3). From (8.4) we see that the eigenspaces of the eigenvalues  $\mu_n$  have dimension two for  $n \geq 1$  and dimension one for  $n = 0$ . If we denote by  $\lambda_n(K)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the eigenvalues of  $K$  in non-increasing order including multiplicities, we obtain for a certain constant  $c > 0$  and all sufficiently big  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(8.7) \quad c^{-1} n^p f_n \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{n} \right)^n \leq \lambda_n(K) \leq c n^p f_n \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{n} \right)^n, \quad p = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ 4 & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

For odd  $n$  this follows from  $\lambda_n(K) = \lambda_{n-1}(K)$  and from application of the estimate for  $\lambda_{n-1}(K)$ , where we need the fact that  $f_{n-1}/f_n$  is bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This was already solved in the proof of Lemma 7.1. In the same way we obtain the existence of  $c > 0$  such that for all sufficiently big  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it holds

$$(8.8) \quad c^{-1} n^p f_n \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{n} \right)^n \leq \lambda_{n+1}(K) \leq c n^p f_n \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{n} \right)^n, \quad p = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n \text{ even,} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

**8.3. Estimates for the number of eigenvalues.** For suitable  $\tau_0, t_0 > 0$  we define  $\pi_{\pm} : (0, \tau_0) \rightarrow (t_0, \infty)$  as the unique functions fulfilling

$$(\pi_-)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = t^2 f_t \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{t} \right)^t, \quad (\pi_+)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) = t^4 f_t \left( \frac{a \varkappa e}{t} \right)^t, \quad t \in (t_0, \infty).$$

This coincides with the definitions from (7.4) and (7.7).

For  $\tau \in [\lambda_{k+1}(K), \lambda_k(K)) \cap (0, \tau_0)$  we obtain from (8.7) that

$$\pi_+(c^{-1} \tau) n(\tau, K) \leq \pi_+(c^{-1} \lambda_k(K)) k \leq 1$$

and therefore, using Lemma 7.1 which is also valid for  $\pi_{\pm}$ ,

$$(8.9) \quad \Delta_{\pi_+}(K) = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \pi_+(\tau) n(\tau, K) = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \pi_+(c^{-1} \tau) n(\tau, K) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, we find arbitrarily small  $\tau = \lambda_k(K) - 0$ ,  $k$  odd, such that

$$\pi_+(2c\tau) n(\tau, K) = \pi_+(2c(\lambda_k(K) - 0)) k \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_{\pi_+}(K) = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \pi_+(\tau) n(\tau, K) = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \pi_+(2c\tau) n(\tau, K) \geq 1.$$

This proves the first part of (7.7). The second part follows by the analogous investigation for  $\delta_{\pi_-}(K)$  using (8.8).

## 9. ESTIMATES FOR THE REMAINDER TERMS

**Lemma 9.1.** *The operator  $\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau) - F^*\mathcal{K}(\tau)$  converges in  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us note that  $\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau)$  and  $F^*\mathcal{K}(\tau)$  are compact operators for all  $\tau > 0$  since  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  are compact. We can split  $\mathcal{Z}(\tau)$  into

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \mathcal{Z}(\tau)\Pi_c + \mathcal{Z}(\tau)(I - \Pi_c).$$

From the boundedness of  $(A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - \Pi_c)$  it follows immediately that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau)(I - \Pi_c) = \sqrt{f}U \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (I - \Pi_c)$$

converges in  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . The proof is accomplished if we can show that also

$$\mathcal{Q}(\tau) := F\Pi_c\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau) - \mathcal{K}(\tau)$$

converges in  $\mathfrak{S}_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . This is done by a detailed analysis of the spectral structure developed in Subsections 5.3 and 5.4. We recall that due to (5.13) and the definition of  $\eta_\tau$  in (5.19) it holds

$$\Pi_c\mathcal{Z}^*(\tau) = \Pi_c \left( \frac{\Lambda - \tau}{A_0^{(4)} - \Lambda + \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* \sqrt{f} = F^*\eta_\tau F\Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\tau)u = \eta_\tau F\Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f}u - \eta_\tau \otimes Xu = \eta_\tau Ru, \quad \text{for } u \in H_4^\times,$$

where the operator  $R : H_4^\times \rightarrow G_\Theta$  is given by

$$R = F\Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f} - I \otimes X.$$

For  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > 0$  and  $u \in H_4^\times$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2))u\|_{G_\Theta}^2 &= \int_{\Theta} \|(\eta_{\tau_1}(\lambda) - \eta_{\tau_2}(\lambda))(Ru)(\lambda)\|_{G(0)}^2 d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\Theta} |\eta_{\tau_1}(\lambda) - \eta_{\tau_2}(\lambda)|^2 \|J_\lambda \Pi_c U^* \sqrt{f}u - Xu\|_{G(0)}^2 d\lambda \\ &\leq C\|u\|_\times^2 \int_{\Theta} \lambda^2 |\eta_{\tau_1}(\lambda) - \eta_{\tau_2}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for a certain  $C > 0$ . This is a direct consequence of (5.16). The integral on the right hand side can be estimated by  $C_1\sqrt{\tau}$  for a certain constant  $C_1 > 0$ . Therefore, we achieve

$$\|(\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2))u\|_{G_\Theta}^2 \leq CC_1\sqrt{\tau}\|u\|_\times^2$$

which proves the convergence of  $\mathcal{Q}(\tau)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.2.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all sufficiently small  $\tau > 0$*

$$(9.1) \quad \|\mathcal{Y}(\tau) - \mathcal{L}(\tau)\| \leq C(1 + 1/\sqrt[4]{\tau}).$$

*Proof.* On  $(I - \Pi_c)H_4$  the operator  $\mathcal{Y}(\tau)$  is bounded as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  whereas the operator  $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$  is identically zero. On the other hand, for  $u \in \Pi_c H_4$  it holds

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle (\mathcal{Y}(\tau) - \mathcal{L}(\tau))u, u \rangle| &= |\langle \mathcal{Z}(\tau)u, \mathcal{Z}(\tau)u \rangle - \langle \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)Fu, \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)Fu \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F)u\|^2 + 2|\operatorname{Re}\langle (\mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F)u, \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)Fu \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F)u\|^2 + 2\|(\mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F)u\| \|\mathcal{K}^*(\tau)Fu\|. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 9.1 it follows that  $\mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F$  is bounded as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , and from (7.1) in the proof of Theorem 6.1 it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{K}^*(\tau)F\| = \sqrt{\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(\tau))} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt[4]{\tau}}$$

for a certain  $c > 0$ . Inserting this into (9.2) we obtain (9.1).  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A.

We want to derive estimate (8.6). Setting  $C_1 := a^2 \varkappa (\Lambda p q)^{-1}$  we obtain from (8.5) for  $n \geq 2$  that

$$(A.1) \quad \mu_n = C_1 \sum_{m=0}^2 p_m \varkappa^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (a\varkappa)^{2(n+k-m)} \tilde{f}_{n+k-m}}{2^{n+k-m} ((n+k-m)!)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^{n+2l}} \binom{k+n-m}{2l+n-m} \binom{2l+n}{l}.$$

With the estimate

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^{n+2l}} \binom{k+n-m}{2l+n-m} \binom{2l+n}{l} &\leq \frac{(k+n-m)! (k+n)(k+n-1)}{2^n n!} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{4^l l!} \\ &\leq \frac{(k+n-m)! n^2 (k+1)^2}{2^n n!} e^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

and with the definition  $C_2 := \sum_{m=0}^2 p_m 2^m a^{-2m}$  we obtain

$$\mu_n \leq C_1 C_2 e^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{a\varkappa}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{n^2 \tilde{f}_{n-2}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a\varkappa)^{2k} (k+1)^2}{2^k (n+k-2)!}.$$

Using  $(n+k-2)! \geq (n-2)! k!$  and Stirling's formula

$$\sqrt{2\pi n} \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \leq n! \leq e^{\frac{1}{12n}} \sqrt{2\pi n} \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n$$

we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &\leq C_1 C_2 4e^{\frac{1}{4} + (a\varkappa)^2} \left( \frac{a\varkappa}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{n^4 \tilde{f}_{n-2}}{(n!)^2} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4} + (a\varkappa)^2}}{4\pi} (2n)^3 \tilde{f}_{n-2} \left( \frac{a\varkappa e}{2n} \right)^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the upper estimate in (8.6). In order to prove the lower estimate, we start again with formula (A.1) and estimate it from below. If we define  $C_3 :=$

$p_2 \varkappa^4 (a\varkappa)^{-4}$  and use (A.2), we obtain

$$\mu_n \geq C_1 C_3 \left( \frac{a\varkappa}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{n^4 \tilde{f}_{n-2}}{(n!)^2} - C_1 C_2 e^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{a\varkappa}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{n^2 \tilde{f}_{n-2}}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a\varkappa)^{2k} (k+1)^2}{2^k (n+k-2)!}.$$

Estimating the sum in the second term and using Stirling's formula, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &\geq \left( C_1 C_3 - \frac{1}{n} C_1 C_2 4(a\varkappa)^2 e^{\frac{1}{4} + (a\varkappa)^2} \right) \frac{n^4 \tilde{f}_{n-2}}{(n!)^2} \left( \frac{a\varkappa}{2} \right)^{2n} \\ &\geq \frac{C_1 C_3 - \frac{1}{n} C_1 C_2 4(a\varkappa)^2 e^{\frac{1}{4} + (a\varkappa)^2}}{16\pi e^{\frac{1}{6n}}} (2n)^3 \tilde{f}_{n-2} \left( \frac{a\varkappa e}{2n} \right)^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bound in (8.6) for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. EVANS, M. LEVITIN, D. VASSILIEV: Existence theorems for trapped modes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **261** 21–31 (1994)
- [2] W. BULLA, F. GESZTESY, W. RENGGER AND B. SIMON: Weakly coupled bound states in quantum waveguides. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** 1487–1495 (1997)
- [3] I. ROITBERG, D. VASSILIEV AND T. WEIDL: Edge resonance in an elastic semi-strip. *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **51** 1–14 (1998)
- [4] D. GRIDIN, R. V. CRASTER AND A. T. I. ADAMOU: Trapped modes in curved elastic plates. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **461** 1181–1197 (2005)
- [5] T. EKHOLM, H. KOVAŘÍK AND D. KREJČÍŘÍK: A Hardy inequality in twisted waveguides. *Preprint: arxiv: math-ph/0512050* (2005)
- [6] V. ZERNOV, A. V. PICHUGIN AND J. KAPLUNOV: Eigenvalue of a semi-infinite elastic plate. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **462** 1255–1270 (2006)
- [7] C. FÖRSTER AND T. WEIDL: Trapped modes for an elastic strip with perturbation of the material properties. *Q. Jl. Mech. Appl. Math.* **59** 399–418 (2005)
- [8] A. LAPTEV, O. SAFRONOV, AND T. WEIDL: Bound states asymptotics for elliptic operators with strongly degenerating symbols. *Nonlinear problems in mathematical physics and related topics, I, In Honor of Professor O. A. Ladyzhenskaya.*, Int. Math. Ser. 1, Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 233–246, (2002)
- [9] M. MELGAARD AND G. ROZENBLUM: Eigenvalue asymptotics for weakly perturbed Dirac and Schrödinger operators with constant magnetic fields of full rank. *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.* **28** 697–736 (2003)
- [10] G. D. RAIKOV AND S. WARZEL: Quasi-classical versus non-classical spectral asymptotics for magnetic Schrödinger operators with decreasing electric potentials. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** 1051–1072 (2002)
- [11] N. FILONOV AND A. PUSHNITSKI: Spectral asymptotics of Pauli operators and orthogonal polynomials in complex domains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* (to appear)
- [12] J. GOBERT: Une inégalité fondamentale de la théorie de l'élasticité. (French) *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* **31** 182–191 (1962)
- [13] M. S. BIRMAN: Perturbations of the continuous spectrum of a singular elliptic operator by varying the boundary and the boundary conditions. (Russian) *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **17** 22–55 (1962)
- [14] M. S. BIRMAN AND M. Z. SOLOMJAK: *Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987 (original: Leningrad University Press, 1980)
- [15] O. SAFRONOV: Discrete spectrum in a gap of continuous spectrum for variable sign perturbations with large coupling constant. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **8** 307–331 (1997)
- [16] I. C. GOHBERG AND M. G. KREĖN: *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1969 (translation)
- [17] T. WEIDL: General operator ideals of weak type. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4** 503–525 (1993)
- [18] M. S. BIRMAN: On the spectrum of singular boundary-value problems. (Russian) *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **55** (1961) 125–174, translated in *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. (2)* **53** 23–80 (1966)
- [19] Y. SCHWINGER: On the bound states of a given potential. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **47** 122–129 (1961)

[20] R. A. ADAMS AND J. J. F. FOURNIER: *Sobolev Spaces*. Elsevier, 2003

Institute for Analysis, Dynamics and Modelling

Department of Mathematics and Physics

University Stuttgart

Pfaffenwaldring 57

70569 Stuttgart, Germany

email:Foerster@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de